



Varsinais-Suomen liitto Egentliga Finlands förbund Regional Council of Southwest Finland



European Union European Regional Development Fund





Project manager salary

Edit expenditure Partner Report 1 Setomaa Period 1 List of expenditures

PP	Budget line	Staff costs	Description 1	
	Work package	M Management	Project Manager Maija Meikeläinen salary incl. social cost, March 2019	
	Internal reference number	000	Description 2	
	Invoice number	000	Fixed 50% working time	
	Invoice date			
	Date of payment	31.03.2019	Partner comment	
	Currency	EUR - EURO	-	
	Conversion rate	(n)	
	Total value of item In original currency	2.383,	3	
	VAT			
	Declared amount In the original currency	2.383,	3	
	Declared amount in Eur	2.383,	3	
	Expenditure outside (the EU part of) the programme area?			
+ Uplo	ad			

Uploaded

Work contract.docx

Pay slip March 2019.docx



Project manager travel

Edit expenditure Partner Report 1 Setomaa Period 1 List of expenditures 3.1

Budget line	Travel and accomodation	-	Description 1
Work package	M Management	•	AS Tallink Group
Internal reference number Invoice number	002 8914135		Description 2 Project team meeting 1516.2.2018, Helsinki, Project Manager S. Talve and Communication Manager A. Karu, ferry Tallinn-Helsinki-Tallinn
Invoice date Date of payment	01.02.2018		Partner comment
Currency	EUR - EURO	•	
Conversion rate		(1)	
Total value of item In original currency VAT		128,00	
Declared amount In the original currency		128,00	
Declared amount in Eur		128,00	
Expenditure outside (the EU part of) the programme area?			

Upload

Uploaded

Invoice.docx (tiina2, 03.06.2019) Agenda 180215.pdf (tiina2, 03.06.2019)



Lunch for the project meeting

Edit expenditure

Partner Report 1 Setomaa Period 1 List of expenditures 4.1

PP	Budget line	External expertise and services	-	Description 1
	Work package	M Management	•	Lounamaja OÜ
	Internal reference number	003		Description 2
	Invoice number	854625	_	Project management meeting lunch 23.1.2018
	Invoice date	01.02.2018		
	Date of payment	16.02.2018		Partner comment
				12 participants
	Currency	EUR - EURO	-	
	Conversion rate		(1)	
	Total value of item In original currency		79,20	
	VAT		13,20	
	Declared amount In the original currency		79,20	
	Declared amount in Eur		79,20	
	Expenditure outside (the EU part of) the programme area?			

Uploaded

+ Upload

Invoice.docx (tiina2, 03.06.2019)

list of participants.docx (tiina2, 03.06.2019)

Agenda meeting 6h.docx (tiina2, 03.06.2019)



Expert service

Edit expenditure Partner Report 1 Setomaa Period 1 List of expenditures

Budget line	External expertise and services	-	Description 1
Work package		-	Phos expertise
Internal reference number	004		Description 2
Invoice number	854625	_	Nutrient balance in farming land (pilot area II), phase 1
Invoice date	18.06.2018		
Date of payment	02.07.2018		Partner comment
			This is the first phase of the research, next phase will be done during the period 4.
Currency	EUR - EURO	-	
Conversion rate		(1)	
Total value of item In original currency		6.600,00	
VAT		1.110,00	
Declared amount In the original currency		6.600,00	
Declared amount in Eur		6.600,00	
Expenditure outside (the EU part of) the programme area?			

+ Upload

	Uploaded
Invoice.docx	
Price comparision.docx	
<u>contract.docx</u>	



Reporting activities/ deliverables

hoose	the	status	of the	delivery	/ of	pro	ect activit	ties f	rom	the	drop	-down	menu

A.T1.1				
Activity title Planning of student camps.	Start month 01.2016	End month 07.2016	Act status completed	
D.T1.1.1				
Deliverable title Plan for students camps to be implemented during the project.	Deliverable description	Planned delivery month 07.2016	Del status completed and achieved as planned Deliverable evidence Ø Upload	
Describe completed deliverable(s) or progress towards achieving	them			
Completed and reported under period 1.			1962 Characters remaining	
A.T1.2				
Activity title Local student workshop in Latvia and Finland.	Start month 08.2016	End month 10.2016	Act status completed	
D.T1.2.1				
Deliverable title Local student workshops implemented with feedback from participants	Deliverable description	Planned delivery month 10.2016	Del status completed and achieved as planned Deliverable evidence <u>Attached file (tilina2 19.02.2018)</u> List of participants, programme of the workshops, 8 business ideas and feedback summary from the workshop Delete attachment	
Describe completed deliverable(s) or progress towards achieving				
Workshops were implemented at Helsinki Normaalikoulu (10-14 Octol selected eight business ideas to be further developed.	ber) and Rīgas 13. vidusskola (20-24 October). We had altogether 75 part	icipants from 5th and 6th grade in Helsinki workshops and in Riga 86 partie	cipants from the same grades. As a result of the workshops students	

1667 Characters remainin



Reporting project outputs/ programme output indicators

		\frown		\frown		\frown		
Project outputs	Project output description	Programme output indicator	Planned delivery month	Output quantification target	Achieved so far (not including this reporting period)	Achieved in this report	Level of achievement	Attachment
T1.1 International student teams	this will be done	Number of participating young people	Jul.2017	300,00	0,00		not started 💌	 Upload
T1.2 eToolbox for entrepreneurial education in high schools	we will	Number of participating young people	Jun.2017	0,00	0,00		not started 💌	 Upload
				\checkmark		\bigvee	,	



Q: One of your project partners asks: For the upcoming project summit, there was the idea to organise also an urban orienteering event. For that, we have planned to use an app by SuperAppProvider, as we have used it when participating in similar events. The price of the app is $1.600 \in (\text{with VAT } 1.984 \in)$ and the price per user is $30 \in (\text{with VAT } 37,20 \in)$ - estimated number of participants is 150. How should we proceed?



A: As the total cost of the app is estimated to exceed 5.000 €, you need to make a price comparison and documented it properly. At least three comparable offers should be requested so that at least two offers can be received. Perhaps in this case, it is possible to use the information available online (price lists etc.) and document this in the price comparison e.g. with print screens. If not, then you need to send out requests.



Q: One of your project partners asks (WP Communication leader): We are in a hurry with the project leaflet as I (= the communication manager) have been travelling so much recently. My brother owns a printing house and has offered to do our publication as extra job. Could you confirm that the leaflet is ready to be printed asap?



A: Sorry, but hurry is no excuse not to follow the procurement rules of the project. It's not ok to use the company of your brothers just like that - there is a clear conflict of interest case there. Of course, your brother's company can participate in an open call for tenders, but then you cannot participate in the decision making. As the leaflet is ready to be printed and we need it quickly, it is best that you check with other printing houses near by to select the one that offers the best price, quality and delivery time at this moment.



Q: One of your project partners asks: We need to buy the budgeted laboratory equipment in two parts due to practical reasons. We estimate that first delivery will be in December and the second in June. Now it seems, that the budgeted amount (22.000 \in) is enough, we might even have some savings. Can we buy the first part now, estimated cost is 4.000 \in and do the procurement later?



A: As the total amount exceeds the $5.000 \in$ and you should not artificially split the purchases, you need to do the procurement now. It is the total amount of the purchase that counts. Please, check with the procurement experts in your organisation or your FLC if the three comparable offers is enough or you need to do a national procurement.